

肿瘤标志物预测NSCLC患者EGFR突变概率数学模型的建立与评价

《现代肿瘤医学》[ISSN:1672-4992/CN:61-1415/R] 期数: 2019年21期 页码: 3816-3820 栏目: 论著 (胸部肿瘤) 出版日期: 2019-09-30

Title: Establishment and evaluation of a mathematical model for predicting the EGFR gene mutation probability of non-small cell lung cancer with tumor markers

作者: 杨桂学; 李冉华; 曾涛; 吴卓; 徐宝宁

中国医科大学附属第四医院胸外科, 辽宁 沈阳 110033

Author(s): Yang Guixue; Li Ranhua; Zeng Tao; Wu Zhuo; Xu Baoning

Department of Thoracic Surgery, the Fourth Affiliated Hospital of China Medical University, Liaoning Shenyang 110033, China.

关键词: 肿瘤标志物; EGFR基因突变; 非小细胞肺癌

Keywords: tumor markers; EGFR gene mutation; NSCLC

分类号: R734.2

DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.1672-4992.2019.21.017

文献标识码: A

摘要: 目的: 利用血清肿瘤标志物建立预测非小细胞肺癌 (NSCLC) 患者表皮生长因子受体 (EGFR) 基因突变概率的数学模型, 并评价其临床应用价值。方法: 回顾性分析我院经病理学确诊的NSCLC患者107例, 对组织标本采用扩增阻滞突变系统实时荧光定量PCR (ARMS-PCR) 技术检测EGFR基因突变, 采集外周静脉血用化学发光法检测血清肿瘤标志物水平。多因素回归分析筛选出EGFR突变的独立预测因子, 建立Logistic回归模型。绘制受试者工作特征曲线 (ROC) 并计算曲线下面积 (AUC) , 以评价模型准确性和临床价值。结果: 107例NSCLC患者中43.9%为EGFR突变型, 56.1%为EGFR野生型。Logistic回归分析显示吸烟史、CEA、CA199和CYFRA21-1在EGFR突变型和野生型组间差异有统计学意义, 是EGFR突变的独立预测因子。由此建立预测模型: $P=\ln/(1+\ln)$, $X=-3.664 + (3.246 \times \text{吸烟史}) + (2.441 \times \text{CEA}) + (1.866 \times \text{CA199}) - (1.918 \times \text{CYFRA21-1})$, \ln 为自然对数; 当截点P为0.478时, 模型的敏感度为85.1%, 特异性为65.0%。该模型的AUC为0.734 (95%CI: 0.637 ~ 0.830)。结论: 非吸烟、CEA和CA199高表达及CYFRA21-1低表达是NSCLC患者EGFR基因突变的独立预测因子。由此建立的数学预测模型准确度较高, 可为EGFR基因突变的预测提供有利帮助。

Abstract: Objective: To establish and evaluate a mathematical model for predicting the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) gene mutation probability of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) with the tumor markers. Methods: The clinical data of 107 patients with a clear pathological diagnosis of NSCLC were retrospectively analyzed from the Fourth Affiliated Hospital of China Medical University. The amplification refractory mutation system PCR (ARMS-PCR) technique was used to detect EGFR gene mutations. The series of tumor markers were detected by taking the peripheral venous blood with electrochemiluminescence method. To estimate the independent predictors of EGFR gene mutation, multivariate analysis was used. A Logistic regression prediction model was subsequently created. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was performed and the area under the curve (AUC) was calculated to evaluate model accuracy and clinical value. Results: In 107 NSCLC patients, EGFR gene mutation type rate was 43.9% and 56.1% was wild type. Logistic regression analysis showed that there were significant differences in smoking history, CEA, CA199, and CYFRA21-1 levels between subgroups with EGFR gene mutation type and wild type ($P<0.05$). These factors were identified as independent predictors of EGFR gene mutation. Our prediction model was $P=\ln/(1+\ln)$, $X=-3.664 + (3.246 \times \text{smoking history}) + (2.441 \times \text{CEA}) + (1.866 \times \text{CA199}) - (1.918 \times \text{CYFRA21-1})$. The goodness of fit of the model was fairly good. When the optimal cut-off point P was 0.478, the sensitivity was 85.1% and specificity was 65.0%. The AUC was 0.734 (95%CI: 0.637 ~ 0.830) in our model. Conclusion: Non-smokers, high expression level of CEA and CA199 and low expression level of CYFRA21-

1 are independent predictors of EGFR gene mutations in NSCLC patients. Our research shows that the mathematics model has high accuracy and can provide help for predicting EGFR gene mutation.

参考文献/REFERENCES

- [1]Torre LA, Bray F, Siegel RL, et al. Global cancer statistics, 2012 [J]. CA Cancer J Clin, 2015, 65(2): 87-108.
- [2]Molina JR, Yang P, Cassivi SD, et al. Non-small cell lung cancer epidemiology, risk factors, treatment, and survivorship [J]. Mayo Clin Proc, 2008, 83(5): 584-594.
- [3]Jafri SH, Shi R, Mills G. Advance lung cancer inflammation index(ALI) at diagnosis is a prognostic marker in patients with metastatic non-small cell lung cancer(NSCLC): A retrospective review [J]. BMC Cancer, 2013 (13) : 158.
- [4]Lee CK, Davies L, Wu YL, et al. Gefitinib or erlotinib vs chemotherapy for EGFR mutation-positive lung cancer: Individual patient data Meta-analysis of overall survival [J]. J Natl Cancer Inst, 2017, 109(6): djw279.
- [5]Yang JC, Wu YL, Schuler M, et al. Afatinib versus cisplatin-based chemotherapy for EGFR mutation-positive lung adenocarcinoma(LUX-Lung 3 and LUX-Lung 6): Analysis of overall survival data from two randomised, phase 3 trials [J]. Lancet Oncol, 2015, 16(2): 141-151.
- [6]Wu YL, Zhou C, Hu CP, et al. Afatinib versus cisplatin plus gemcitabine for firstline treatment of Asian patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer harbouring EGFR mutations(LUX-Lung 6): An open-label, randomised phase 3 trial [J]. Lancet Oncol, 2014 (15) : 213-222.
- [7]Hanna N, Johnson D, Temin S, et al. Systemic therapy for stage IV non-small-cell lung cancer: American society of clinical oncology clinical practice guideline update [J]. J Clin Oncol, 2017, 35(30): 3484-3517.
- [8]Novello S, Barlesi F, Califano R, et al. Metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer: ESMO clinical practice guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up [J]. Ann Oncol, 2016, 27(suppl 5): v1-v27.
- [9]Ettlinger DS, Wood DE, Aisner DL, et al. Non-small cell lung cancer, version 5.2017, NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology [J]. J Natl Compr Canc Netw, 2017, 15(4): 504-535.
- [10]Zhao W, Yu H, Han Z, et al. Clinical significance of joint detection of serum CEA, SCCA, and bFGF in the diagnosis of lung cancer [J]. Int J Clin Exp Pathol, 2015, 8(8): 9506-9511.
- [11]Yang ZM, Ding XP, Pen L, et al. Analysis of CEA expression and EGFR mutation status in non-small cell lung cancers [J]. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev, 2014, 15(8): 3451-3455.
- [12]Jiang R, Wang X, Li K. Predictive and prognostic value of preoperative serum tumor markers is EGFR mutation-specific in resectable non-small-cell lung cancer [J]. Oncotarget, 2016, 7(18): 26823-26836.
- [13]Wu YL, Zhou C, Liam CK, et al. First-line erlotinib versus gemcitabine/cisplatin in patients with advanced EGFR mutation-positive non-small-cell lung cancer: Analyses from the phase III, randomized, open-label, ENSURE study [J]. Ann Oncol, 2015, 26(9): 1883-1889.
- [14]Goldberg SB, Oxnard GR, Digumarthy S, et al. Chemotherapy with erlotinib or chemotherapy alone in advanced non-small cell lung cancer with acquired resistance to EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors [J]. Oncologist, 2013, 18 (11) : 1214-1220.
- [15]Zhou J, Song XB, He H, et al. Prevalence and clinical profile of EGFR mutation in non- small cell lung carcinoma patients in southwest China [J]. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev, 2016, 17(3): 965 - 971.
- [16]Dai H, Liu J, Liang L, et al. Increased lung cancer risk in patients with interstitial lung disease and elevated CEA and CA125 serum tumour markers [J]. Respirology, 2014, 19(5): 707-713.
- [17]Jin B, Dong Y, Wang HM, et al. Correlation between serum CEA levels and EGFR mutations in Chinese nonsmokers with lung adenocarcinoma [J]. Acta Pharmacol Sin, 2014, 35(3): 373-380.
- [18]Cai Z. Relationship between serum carcinoembryonic antigen level and epidermal growth factor receptor mutations with the influence on the prognosis of non-small-cell lung cancer patients [J]. Onco Targets Ther, 2016 (9) : 3873-3878.
- [19]Li X, Ren R, Ren S, et al. Peripheral blood for epidermal growth factor receptor mutation detection in non-small cell lung cancer patients [J]. Transl Oncol, 2014, 7(3): 341-348.
- [20]Sabri A, Batool M, Xu Z, et al. Predicting EGFR mutation status in lung cancer: Proposal for a scoring model using imaging and demographic characteristics [J]. Eur Radiol, 2016(26): 4141-4147.

备注/Memo: 辽宁省科学技术计划项目 (编号: 2013408001)

更新日期/Last Update: 2019-09-30